

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/042,546 | 01/08/2002 | Kim Laube | | 7479 |
| 42794 | 7590 02/01/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| DENNIS W. BEECH | | | WATTS, DOUGLAS D | |
| 19900 BEACH | I BOULEVARD, SUIT | | | |
| HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92648 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3724 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/042,546 | LAUBE, KIM | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Douglas D. Watts | 3724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 | 5 November 2003. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 33-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 35 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| _ | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Notice of Draitspersor's Faterit Drawing Review (F10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/042,546 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

A paper for an RCE was filed in the instant case. As per procedure the amendment after Final filed 10/31/03 has been entered. Applicant requested in the RCE paper of 11/25/03 that the arguments of the appeal brief be considered. Since there is no appeal brief in the case the arguments of the amendment of 10/31/03 have been considered herein. An action on the merits follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andis ,616. Fig 5 appears to show the claimed comb element. Note that the rear of the plate is strengthened.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andis, 616. The rational from the Office action of 7/31/03 is incorporated herein by reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/042,546

Art Unit: 3724

Page 3

Claim 36 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant has attempted to further limit the comb element by inserting "receive" for function of the notch. It appears that the notch of Andis does receive the cutting head.

Applicant also argues that the method his method is not taught by Andis. It is noted that applicant does not claim a method but only a function which is met.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Watts whose telephone number is (571) 272-4515. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30AM – 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DW

Douglas D Watts

Application/Control Number: 10/042,546

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

Primary Examiner